



GOFCC Implementation RFP Review Process

The review of proposals will be as follows:

1. A review committee established by Maryland Family Network will evaluate written applications and assign a numeric score.
2. The review committee will approve final awards based on the overall score and quality of proposals.

Cover Letter (5 Points)		
Exemplary (4-5)	Meets Standard (2-3)	Does Not Meet Standard (1)
<p>The agency clearly introduced the organization. The agency has extensive experience in child care and early childhood initiatives relevant to GOFCC.</p> <p>The proposal submitted has clearly identified and described the connections to the jurisdiction to be served by GOFCC which details a robust history of working within the jurisdiction. The agency has provided details about their interest in operating GOFCC in their jurisdictions.</p>	<p>The agency introduced their organization which showcases some experience in child care and/or early childhood initiatives. The proposal submitted identified and described some connections to the jurisdiction to be served by GOFCC. The agency provides some details regarding the history of working within the jurisdiction. Limited details about the agency's interest in operating GOFCC are included.</p>	<p>The agency has limited experience in child care and/or early childhood initiatives. The agency provided little details that indicate their interest in operating GOFCC within their jurisdictions. The agency's connection to the jurisdiction named was not detailed.</p>

Proposal Narrative (10 points)		
Exemplary (8-10)	Meets Standard (5-7)	Does Not Meet Standard (1-4)
<p>The proposed project demonstrates detailed and data supported rationale and justification about why the local jurisdiction needs and would benefit from GOFCC. Identified jurisdiction shows clear need based on data. The proposal highlights the role of data in the planning process of this project and demonstrates clear alignment to the goals and core values listed in RFP. The proposed project includes ambitious targets for how many providers are projected to open annually.</p>	<p>The proposed project demonstrates detailed rationale and justification about why the local jurisdiction needs and would benefit from GOFCC. The narrative does not highlight the use of data in the planning of the project. The proposed project demonstrates some alignment to goals and core values listed in the RFP. Narrative provides some data to support project investment. The proposed project includes a target for how many providers are projected to open annually.</p>	<p>The proposed project either demonstrates some rationale and justification about why the local jurisdiction needs and would benefit from GOFCC or does not provide data to support reasons. The proposed project does not demonstrate an alignment to the goals and core values listed in RFP. The proposed project either does not contain a target for how many providers are projected to open annually or the number providers is too low.</p>

Goals (10 points)		
Exemplary (8-10)	Meets Standard (5-7)	Does Not Meet Standard (1-4)
<p>Identifies and demonstrates clear alignment to at least 6 of the 7 goals listed.</p>	<p>Identifies and demonstrates alignment to at least 4 of the goals listed.</p>	<p>Identifies and demonstrates alignment to 3 or less goals and/or the project shows misalignment with the intent of the program.</p>

			Core Values/Program Elements (10 points)			
Exemplary (8-10)			Meets Standard (5-7)	Does Not Meet Standard (1-4)		
Demonstrates detailed and clear alignment to 7 or more of the listed core values/program elements; including a partnership/collaboration with regional child care resource center. Proposed project is justified by local data.			Demonstrates alignment to at least 4 of the listed core values/program elements OR demonstrates alignment to 5+ but does not include a partnership/collaboration with regional child care resource center.	Demonstrates alignment to less than 3 core values/program elements.		

			Action Plan (10 points)			
Exemplary (8-10)			Meets Standard (5-7)	Does Not Meet Standard (1-4)		
There is a clear and robust plan to expand the number of licensed family child care programs in identified jurisdiction. The plan includes details for achieving listed goals through the use of actions and strategies that support the successful implementation of GOFCC in Year 1. The plan includes relevant time line information as well as completion dates for activities or goals as appropriate.			A plan was created to expand the number of licensed family child care programs in identified jurisdiction. Plan provides some details for achieving listed goals through the use of actions and strategies. Plan includes relevant time line or completion dates for activities or goals as appropriate.	Plan lacks clarity or lacks details surrounding goal achievement through the use of actions and specific strategies through Year 1. Plan does not include relevant time line or questions regarding timeline are present.		

			Budget (5 points)			
Exemplary (4-5)			Meets Standard (3-4)	Does Not Meet Standard (1-3)		
All grant activities are present in budget. Costs are reasonable. There are no calculation errors.			Most of the grant activities are present in budget. Costs are reasonable. There are few calculation errors.	Many grant activities are missing from the budget. Costs may not be reasonable. There are calculation errors present.		

			Fiscal Match and Sustainability (5 points)			
Exemplary (4-5)			Meets Standard (3-4)	Does Not Meet Standard (1-3)		
Proposed project provides detailed explanation of how agency will provide or seek additional financial resources to support the implementation of GOFCC. Additional funds represent 90% or more in cash matching.			Proposed project provides detailed explanation of how the agency will provide or seek additional financial resources to support the implementation of GOFCC. Additional funds represent at least 50% in cash matching	Proposed project provides detailed explanation of how the agency will provide or seek additional financial resources to support the implementation of GOFCC. Additional funds represent less than 50% in cash matching.		

Grantee Financial Information (2 point)	
Meets Standard (2)	Does Not Meet Standard (0)
Proposed project includes document pertaining to the financial health of the agency for FY21.	Proposed project does not include document pertaining to the financial health of the agency for FY21.

Grantee Information (2 points)	
Meets Standard (2)	Does Not Meet Standard (0)
Proposed project includes organization chart.	Proposed project does not include organization chart.

Letters of Support (5 points)		
Exemplary (4-5)	Meets Standard (3-4)	Does Not Meet Standard (1-3)
Letters of support that are included are diverse with a wide range of stakeholders and government agencies that represent the specific jurisdiction/s to be served.	Letters of support are somewhat diverse with a limited range of stakeholders and government agencies but do represent the specific jurisdiction/s to be served. OR Letters of support are diverse with a wide range of stakeholders and government agencies but do not represent the specific jurisdiction/s to be	Letters of support are limited or does not represent a diverse range of stakeholders. Letters do not represent the specific jurisdiction to be served.

Proposed Staffing (2 points)	
Meets Standard (2)	Does Not Meet Standard (0)
Proposed staffing includes staff resumes for all individuals associated with the GOFCC project. Includes details as to how the program fits into the organization. There is a dedicated staff member/consultant assigned to the project.	Proposed staffing includes staff resumes for all individuals associated with the GOFCC project. There is no dedicated staff member/consultant assigned to the project.

Presentation (5 Points)		
Exemplary (4-5)	Meets Standard (3-4)	Does Not Meet Standard (1-2)
<p>The agency clearly presented the highlights of the organization's proposal; which included the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Details that demonstrated their extensive experience in child care and early childhood initiatives relevant to GOFCC. 2. Overview of key personnel within the project. 3. Clear connection to the goals and core values. <p>The agency showed great care in preparation and was very responsive to questions asked.</p>	<p>The agency presented the highlights of the organization's proposal. Details may have been lacking in the following areas:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Demonstration of experience in child care and early childhood initiatives relevant to GOFCC. 2. Overview of key personnel. 3. Clear connection to the goals and core values. 	<p>The agency's presentation lacked details of the prospective project. The agency may or may not have included their experience in child care or early childhood initiatives and overview of key personnel. The scope of the project was unclear.</p>